1. Practice computing anomaly coefficients:

As will be discussed next week, anomalies in four spacetime dimensions are proportional to  $Tr({G_1, G_2}G_3)$ , where  $G_{1,2,3}$  are any symmetries and Tr runs over all of the massless, left-handed, chiral Fermions. If a Fermion is in representation  $(r_1, r_2, r_3)$  of groups  $G_1, G_2, G_3$ , then  $\operatorname{Tr}(G_1G_2G_3) \to \operatorname{Tr}(\{T_{r_1}^{a_1}, T_{r_2}^{a_2}\}T_{r_3}^{a_3})$  and the anti-commutator ensures that the result is symmetric in  $(a_1, a_2, a_3)$ . For  $G_i$  that differ, the trace is over the tensor product space, and thus factorizes into a product of the separate traces. For the case of all Abelian groups,  $\operatorname{Tr} U(1)_1 U(1)_2 U(1)_3 = \sum_i q_{1,i} q_{2,i} q_{3,i}$  where  $q_{a,i}$  is the  $U(1)_a$  charge of field *i*. For the case of two non-Abelian generators and one U(1) generator,  $\text{Tr}G^aG^bU(1) =$  $\delta^{ab} \sum T_2(r_i)q_i$ , where  $T_2(r)$  is the quadratic index,  $\operatorname{Tr}(T^a(r)T^b(r)) = T_2(r)\delta^{ab}$ . For the case of three non-Abelian generators,  $TrG^3$  is associated with the cubic Casimir of the group, and is proportional to the  $d^{a,b,c}$  symbol,  $\operatorname{Tr}(\{T_r^a, T_r^b\}T_r^c) \equiv A(r)d^{abc}$ , where  $d^{abc}$  are some completely symmetric constants that depend on the group, but not the representation r, and we can define A(r) = 1 for a fundamental of SU(N). Fact: only SU(N) groups, with  $N \geq 3$  have a non-zero cubic Casimir, i.e. a non-zero  $d^{abc}$ . Some methods to compute d(r) are  $d(r_1 + r_2) = d(r_1) + d(r_2)$  and  $d(r_1 \times r_2) = d(r_1) \dim(r_2) + d(r_2) \dim(r_1)$ , and also it is enough to consider how the rep decomposes under a  $SU(3) \supset SU(N)$  where  $\mathbf{N} \to \mathbf{3} + (N-3)(\mathbf{1}).$ 

(a) Recall the  $SU(N_c) \times [SU(N_f)_L \times SU(N_f)_R \times U(1)_V \times U(1)_A]$  discussed in lecture for  $SU(N_c)$  gauge theory with  $N_f$  massless Dirac Fermion flavors. Compute each of the following, remarking in particular about which are zero vs non-zero:  $\text{Tr}SU(N_c)^3$ ,  $\text{Tr}SU(N_c)^2SU(N_f)_L$ ,  $\text{Tr}SU(N_c)^2U(1)_V$ ,  $\text{Tr}SU(N_c)^2U(1)_A$ ,  $\text{Tr}SU(N_c)SU(N_f)_L^2$ ,  $\text{Tr}SU(N_c)U(1)_Y^2$ ,  $\text{Tr}SU(N_f)_L^2U(1)_V$ ,  $\text{Tr}SU(N_f)_L^2U(1)_A$ ,  $\text{Tr}U(1)_V^3$ ,  $\text{Tr}U(1)_V^2U(1)_A$ .

(b) Refer to the lecture on May 11 to see the  $SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_W \times U(1)_Y$  representations of a generation of chiral, left-handed matter fields in the Standard Model. Verify that all of its anomalies involving the gauge fields vanish:  $\text{Tr}SU(3)_C^3 = 0$ ,  $\text{Tr}SU(3)_C^2U(1)_Y = 0$ ,  $\text{Tr}SU(2)_W^2U(1)_Y = 0$ ,  $\text{Tr}U(1)_Y^3 = 0$ .

(c) Consider an SU(N) gauge theory with one chiral Fermion in the  $\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{N}+\mathbf{1})$ , one in the  $\overline{\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{N}-\mathbf{1})}$ ,  $N_A$  in the adjoint,  $N_{f,L}$  in the  $\mathbf{N}$  and  $N_{f,R}$  in the  $\overline{\mathbf{N}}$ . Compute  $\mathrm{Tr}SU(N)^3$ .

2. Consider an  $SU(N_c)$  gauge theory with a complex matter field  $\Phi^c$  in the fundamental representation of  $SU(N_c)$ ,  $c = 1 \dots N_c$ , with a potential  $V = -\frac{1}{2}m^2 \sum_c \Phi^c \Phi_c^{\dagger} + \frac{\lambda}{4} (\sum_c \Phi^c \Phi_c^{\dagger})^2$ , leading to  $\langle \Phi_c \rangle \neq 0$ . (a) Use the symmetry to argue that you can rotate the vev to point in a particular direction, and use that to determine the unbroken gauge group. Verify that the number of would-be NGBs that are eaten agree with how many of the gauge fields got a mass  $m_A$ .

(b) Suppose that there are  $N_f$  flavors of complex matter fields,  $\Phi^{c,f}$  with  $f = 1 \dots N_f$ , each in the fundamental of the  $SU(N_c)$  gauge symmetry. What is the largest possible global flavor symmetry? Suppose that the potential preserves this largest possible symmetry, but leads to a non-zero  $\langle \Phi^{c,f} \rangle$  in the vacuum. What is the configuration for  $\langle \Phi^{c,f} \rangle$  that preserves the largest gauge and flavor symmetry, and what is this largest possible gauge and flavor symmetry? How many gauge fields get a non-zero mass  $m_A$ ? How many NGBs were left uneaten, and what is the field-space where they take values?

(c) The theory for  $E > m_A$  is the original  $SU(N_c)$  theory with the  $N_f$  matter fields  $\Phi^{f,c}$ . For  $E < m_A$  we can integrate out the massive fields to get a low-energy theory consisting of the unbroken gauge fields and any uneaten matter. Refer to the lecture on May 11 to write down the one-loop running of the gauge coupling of the theory both above the scale  $m_A$  and below the scale  $m_A$ . By matching the running coupling, relate the scale  $\Lambda_H$  of the high-energy theory to the scale  $\Lambda_L$  of the low-energy theory. Should we have  $m_A > \Lambda_H$  or  $m_A < \Lambda_H$  for weak coupling? In that case, is  $\Lambda_L > \Lambda_H$  or  $\Lambda_L < \Lambda_H$ ?

Please write a one-paragraph outline of the topic that you would like to present for the final presentation. The final will be to write up a short report on the topic (around 5-7 pages), and give a 10 minute presentation during the final exam time slot.