

• Last time, the Coulomb potential has an additional degeneracy, corresponding to the conserved Runge-Lenz vector<sup>1</sup>  $\vec{M} = (2m)^{-1}(\vec{p} \times \vec{L} - \vec{L} \times \vec{p}) - (Ze^2/r)\vec{r}$ . This satisfies  $[\vec{M}, H] = 0$ . Since  $\vec{M}$  transforms as a vector, it satisfies  $[M_i, L_j] = i\hbar\epsilon_{ijk}M_k$ . Also, it satisfies  $[M_i, M_j] = -i\hbar\epsilon_{ijk}(2/m)HL_k$ . Acting on energy eigenstates, we can replace  $H \rightarrow E$  and define  $\vec{N} = (-m/2E)^{1/2}\vec{M}$ , with  $[N_i, N_j] = i\hbar\epsilon_{ijk}L_k$ . Define  $\vec{I} = \frac{1}{2}(\vec{L} + \vec{N})$  and  $\vec{K} = \frac{1}{2}(\vec{L} - \vec{N})$ , and see that they form decoupled  $SU(2)$  representations:

$$[I_i, I_j] = i\hbar\epsilon_{ijk}I_k, \quad [K_i, K_j] = i\hbar\epsilon_{ijk}K_k, \quad [I_i, K_j] = 0$$

and  $[I_i, H] = [K_i, H] = 0$ . So energy eigenstates form representations of  $SU(2)_I$  and  $SU(2)_K$ , labeled by  $i, k$  which can be integer or half-integer, and the degeneracy is  $(2i + 1)(2k + 1)$ . Also there is another relation:  $\vec{I}^2 - \vec{K}^2 = \vec{L} \cdot \vec{N} = 0$ , which requires  $i = k$ . Also

$$\vec{I}^2 + \vec{K}^2 = \frac{1}{2}(\vec{L}^2 + \vec{N}^2) = \frac{1}{2}(\vec{L}^2 - \frac{m}{2E}\vec{M}^2),$$

with  $\vec{M}^2 = \frac{2}{m}H(\vec{L}^2 + \hbar^2) + Z^2e^4$ . These imply  $2k(k + 1)\hbar^2 = \frac{1}{2}(-\hbar^2 - \frac{m}{2E}Z^2e^4)$  and thus  $E = -(mZ^2e^4/2\hbar^2)n^{-2}$  with  $n = 2k + 1$ . The degeneracy of  $(2k + 1)^2$  is thus a degeneracy of  $n^2$ . The symmetry completely determines the hydrogen atom energy levels and degeneracy, with no need to solve the Schrodinger equation.

• Since  $\vec{L} = \vec{I} + \vec{K}$ , the rotation subgroup is a diagonal subgroup of  $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ ; this is standard fact about how 3d rotations are embedded in 4d rotations. Also,  $\vec{L}$  is determined by the usual rules for addition of angular momentum from the  $\vec{I}$  and  $\vec{K}$  representation:  $\ell$  can range from  $|i - k|$  to  $i + k$ . Since  $i = k$ , this is  $0 \dots 2k = n - 1$ .

• The 3d SHO  $V(r) = \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2r^2$  also has an extra symmetry<sup>2</sup> The extra conserved charges are a quadrupole tensor  $Q_{ij}^{\ell=2}$ , i.e. there are 5 extra generators, in addition to the 3 rotation generators, and the fact that they have  $\ell = 2$  means that they do not commute with rotations, i.e. the rotation symmetry is a subgroup of a bigger symmetry group<sup>3</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Classically, writing  $V = -\kappa/r$ ,  $E = -\kappa/2a$ , where  $a$  is the semi-major axis (half the distance from the perihelion to the aphelion), and  $\vec{L}^2 = \mu\kappa a(1 - e^2)$ , with  $\vec{L}$  perpendicular to the orbit plane, and  $|\vec{M}| = \kappa e$  where  $e \equiv (a^2 - b^2)^{1/2}/a$  is the eccentricity and  $\vec{M}$  points from the origin to the perihelion.

<sup>2</sup> Can again get elliptical classical orbits, with  $E = \frac{1}{2}k(a^2 + b^2)$  and  $\vec{L}^2 = mKa^2b^2$ . Unlike the Coulomb potential, now the origin is at the center of the ellipse, not a focal point.

<sup>3</sup> In quantum field theory, the rotation symmetry  $SU(2)$  is a subgroup of the Lorentz group  $SO(1, 3)$ , which is a subgroup of the Poincare group that includes translations. There are strong constraints on embedding this group into a bigger group. Bigger groups include supersymmetry, and conformal symmetry; these are two things that I study in my own research.

The quantum energies are  $E_{\vec{n}} = (n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + \frac{3}{2})\hbar\omega$ , so taking  $n = n_1 + n_2 + n_3$ , the degeneracy is  $\frac{1}{2}(n+1)(n+2)$ . In spherical coordinates, find  $\ell = n, n-2, \dots$ , i.e.  $n = k + 2\ell$ , where  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , e.g. for  $n = 3$  get  $\ell = 1, 3$  and the degeneracy is  $10 = 3 + 7$ . It turns out that  $SU(2)_{\vec{L}}$  gets enhanced to  $SU(3)$ , and the  $n$  in the energy eigenstate labels the  $SU(3)$  representation obtained in a fully-symmetrized tensor product of  $n$  fundamentals. (Aside: the strong interactions is based on an exact  $SU(3)_C$  color gauge symmetry that rotates quark colors (r,g,b). There is also an approximate  $SU(3)_F$  global symmetry that rotates the three lightest quarks (u,d,s).) In terms of the creation and annihilation operators  $a_i^\dagger a_j$  have commutation relations that are those of  $U(3)$ . The additional  $U(1)$  generator, which decouples from  $SU(3)$ , is particle number, which is essentially  $H$  itself.

There are two ways to embed the rotation group in  $SU(3)$ . One is such that,  $SU(3) \rightarrow SU(2) \times U(1)$ , with  $\mathbf{3} \rightarrow \mathbf{2}_1 + \mathbf{1}_{-2}$ ; that is not the correct embedding for this case. The correct embedding is such that  $SU(3) \rightarrow SO(3)$ , with  $\mathbf{3} \rightarrow \mathbf{3}$ . This is clear because the  $a_i^\dagger$  are in the  $\mathbf{3}$  of  $SU(3)$ , and in the  $\mathbf{3}$  vector of the rotation group  $SO(3)$ , e.g.  $a_i^\dagger|0\rangle \sim x_i|0\rangle$  is clearly in the vector of the rotation group. The representation obtained by the symmetrized product in  $\mathbf{3} \times \dots \times \mathbf{3}$  then decomposes under the rotation group according to e.g.  $(\mathbf{3} \times \mathbf{3})_S = \mathbf{5} + \mathbf{1}$  etc, agreeing with  $n = k + 2\ell$ .